#### Merging binary black holes in dense star clusters and in Pop. III environments

Genesis: The Fourth Annual Area Symposium Online <u>Ataru Tanikawa</u><sup>1</sup>

Collaborators:

**Fujii M. S.**<sup>2</sup>, Hijikawa K.<sup>2</sup>, Kinugawa T.<sup>3</sup>, **Kirihara T.**<sup>4</sup>, **Kumamoto J.**<sup>2</sup>, Leigh N. W. C.<sup>5</sup>, Shikauchi M.<sup>2</sup>, **Susa H.**<sup>4</sup>, Takahashi K.<sup>6</sup>, **Trani A. A.**<sup>1</sup>, Umeda H.<sup>2</sup>, Wang L.<sup>2</sup>, Yoshida T.<sup>2</sup>

A03 group PI/coPI, A03 group Postdocs

<sup>1</sup>University of Tokyo (Komaba), <sup>2</sup>University of Tokyo (Hongo), <sup>3</sup>University of Tokyo (ICRR), <sup>4</sup>Konan University, <sup>5</sup>Universidad de Concepcion, <sup>6</sup>Max Planck Institute

## Papers in 2020-2021

- Open clusters
  - Kumamoto, Fujii, AT (2020, MNRAS, 495, 4268) "Merger rate density of binary black holes formed in open clusters"
  - Kumamoto, Fujii, Trani, AT (2021, arXiv:2102.09323) "Spin distribution of binary black holes formed in open clusters"
  - Trani, AT, Fujii, Leigh, Kumamoto (2021, arXiv:2102.01689) "Spin misalignment of black hole binaries from young star clusters: comparison to GWTC-2 gravitational wave data" (see also Presentation No. 23)
  - AT, Kinugawa, Kumamoto, Fujii (2020, PASJ, 72, 39) "Formation rate of LB1-like systems through dynamical interactions"
  - Shikauchi, Kumamoto, AT, Fujii (2020, PASJ, 72, 45) "Gaia's detectability of black hole-main sequence star binaries formed in open clusters"
- Globular clusters
  - Wang, **Fujii**, **AT** (2021, arXiv:2101.09283, submitted) "Impact of initial mass functions on the dynamical channel of gravitational wave sources"
- Pop. III stars
  - Kirihara, Susa, AT (2021, submitted) "Merger conditions of a star-star interaction" (see also Presentation No. 22)
  - AT, Yoshida, Kinugawa, Takahashi, Umeda (2020, MNRAS, 495, 4170) "Fitting formulae for evolution tracks of massive stars under extreme metal-poor environments for population synthesis calculations and star cluster simulations"
- AT, Susa, Yoshida, Trani, Kinugawa (2021, arXiv:2008.01890, ApJ accepted) "Merger rate density of Population III binary black holes below, above and in the pair-instability mass gap"
- AT, Kinugawa, Yoshida, Hijikawa, Umeda (2020, arXiv:2010.07616, submitted) "Population III binary black holes: effects of convective overshooting on formation of GW190521"

## Open clusters

- Formation path to BH-BHs
  - Binary stars at the initial time (primordial binaries) are not needed.
  - If not, binary stars are always formed dynamically.
  - Primordial binary cases are discussed in Di Carlo et al. (2019; 2020)
- Formation mechanism
  - Pop. II: common envelope
  - Pop. I: dynamical capture
- Differential merger rate density consistent with GW observations
  - No BH-BHs with  $M_1 > 40 M_{\odot}$  due to the absence of  $Z < 0.1 Z_{\odot}$  simulations



Kumamoto, Fujii, AT (2019, MNRAS, 486, 3942)



Kumamoto, Fujii, AT (2020, MNRAS, 495, 4268)



## BH-BH effective spins

- Tidal spin up formulated by Hotokezaka & Piran (2017; see also Kushnir et al. 2016)
- $\sim 20\%$  of BH-BHs have positive effective spins.
  - It may be consistent with GW observations if we take into account observational errors (Tanaka-san's talk).
- Lower-mass BH-BHs have higher effective spins.
  - A possible clue to identify the BH-BH origin(s).
  - Consistent with Safarzadeh et al. (2020)'s argument.







## Spin-orbit misalignment

- $\sim 10\%$  of merging BH-BHs with spinning BHs experience a single encounter with another BH before they merge or are ejected.
- Such a single encounter makes spin-orbit misalignment, and produces non-zero  $\chi_p$ .
- The misalignment doesn't attain the isotropic distribution.
- See Presentation No. 23



Trani, AT et al. (2021, arXiv:2102.01689)

### Globular clusters

- Globular cluster (GC) scenario (Portegies Zwart, McMillan 2000; Downing et al. 2010; Tanikawa 2013; Rodriguez et al. 2016; 2018; 2020; Fujii et al. 2017; Askar et al. 2017; Park et al. 2017; Samsing et al. 2018)
- It is unclear if GC-origin BH-BHs are numerous enough for the observed rate (but see Rodriguez et al. 2021)
  - The total cluster mass is 0.1-1% of the total stellar mass in the universe.
- Extra budget? (Weatherford et al. 2021)



Rodrigeuz et al. (2016)

# Top heavy IMF in GCs

- Top heavy IMF
  - Nearby dense star forming region (Lu et al. 2013; Schneider et al. 2018; Hosek et al. 2019)
  - Multiple stellar population in GCs (Milone et al. 2017; Bastian, Lardo 2018; Wang et al. 2020)
- Advantages for the GC-origin BH-BH scenario
  - More many BHs in each GC
  - Difficulty of EM observations
- Can they be the extra budget?
  - Not necessarily
  - They form many BH-BHs, but the BH-BHs are not enough compact to merge within the Hubble time.



Wang, Fujii, AT et al. (2021, arXiv:2101.09283)

## Stellar merger

- Importance
  - Pop. III clusters (Stacy et al. (2010; Clark et al. 2011; Greif et al. 2011; Smith et al. 2011; Susa 2019; Sugimura et al. 2020)
  - Formation of mass-gap BHs (Di Carlo et al. 2020)
  - Formation of IMBHs in GCs (Portegies Zwart et al. 2004; Sakurai et al. 2017)
- Accurate merger conditions required.
- See Presentation No. 22



15

-15

t=tm

t=tini

10

-10

-10

-20

-15

Ó



15 –15 x/α

Kirihara, Susa, AT (2021, submitted)



t=tmeraer+0.08tstop t=tmeraer+0.85tsto

-15

15

## Pop. III BH-BHs

- Pop. III BH-BHs are one of promising origins of observed BH-BHs.
  - They typically have  $M_{\rm BH} \sim 30 M_{\odot}$ .
  - GW observations frequently find BH-BHs with  $M_{\rm BH} \sim 30 M_{\odot}$ .
- Uncertainties of Pop. III models?
- IMBHs ~  $10^2 10^3 M_{\odot}$ ?
- The mass-gap event (GW190521)?



Kinugawa et al. (2014)

### Their mass distribution

- The merger rate density is insensitive to initial conditions,  $\sim 10^{-14} \text{yr}^{-1} \text{Gpc}^{-3} M_{\odot}^{-1}$ .
- The  $30M_{\odot}$  peak disappears without close ( ~  $10R_{\odot}$ ) Pop. III binaries.
  - Pop. III binaries can be only  $\gtrsim 100R_{\odot}$ , since Pop. III stars expand to ~  $100R_{\odot}$  at their proto-stellar phases (Omukai, Palla 2001; 2003)
- The sum of IMBH-BH and IMBH-IMBH merger rates is ~ 1 yr<sup>-1</sup> within  $z \sim 0.82$  in a conservative Pop. III formation rate.

AT et al. (2021, arXiv:2008.01890)



### Mass-gap event (GW190521)

- Pop. III binaries can form the mass-gap event if Pop. III stars with  $\sim 90M_{\odot}$  expand up to  $\leq 100R_{\odot}$ .
- It can be attained if convective overshoot is not effective.





### Summary

- BH-BHs formed in open clusters: their mass, effective spin, and tilt angle distributions, consistent with GW observations.
- GCs with top-heavy IMF which do not increase GCorigin BH-BHs as expected.
- Stellar merger conditions determined.
- Pop. III BH-BHs: unexpectedly large merger rate of IMBHs, and a possible solution for the mass-gap event.